

# Eco-Friendly Management of Sheath Blight Disease in Barnyard Millet (Echinochloa crusgalli) incited by Rhizoctonia solani Kuhn

Akanshu<sup>1</sup>, Laxmi Rawat<sup>1</sup>, Vivek Chauhan<sup>2</sup> and Vijay Kumar<sup>1</sup>

Plant Pathology Division, VCSG Uttarakhand University of Horticulture and Forestry, Pauri Gharwal Uttarakhand, India

## ABSTRACT

Sheath blight, caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*, threatens barnyard millet (*Echinochloa crusgalli*), a nutrient-rich cereal critical for food security in India. This study evaluates eco-friendly disease management strategies, including seed treatment, seed biopriming, and organic amendments colonized with biocontrol agents (*Trichoderma asperellum, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Bacillus subtilis*), under field conditions in Uttarakhand. The pathogen was identified via morphological and microscopic analyses. Field trials using a Randomized Block Design assessed disease incidence, severity, and control efficacy across 36 plots. Results showed that farm yard manure (FYM) pre-colonized with a consortium of bioagents achieved 100% disease control efficacy, outperforming individual seed treatments. The study highlights the synergistic potential of bioinoculants in reducing disease incidence and severity, offering a sustainable alternative to synthetic fungicides. These findings underscore the role of bioagents in promoting resilient, eco-friendly agriculture in India.

**Key Words:** biocontrol agents, bioinoculants, disease management, eco-friendly agriculture, organic amendments, sustainable practices.

# INTRODUCTION

Millet is the oldest of the cultivated grain crops and a general term for a variety of smallgrained cereal grass varieties (Kumar, 2016; Rawat et al, 2019). Vital nutrients are found in millets, and their protein content is regarded as being on par with or better than that of rice (Oryza sativa), maize (Zea mays), and wheat (Triticum aestivum). (Kumar et al, 2017). They are considered as Nutri Cereal crops and slowly being rediscovered by the agricultural research and development community (Kumar, 2016; Grovermann et al, 2018). Of the 30.73 million tons of millet produced worldwide, 11.42 million tons are produced in India, making up 37% of the total production. In India, millet is grown on about 24 million ha of land. (Sakamma et al, 2018).

Madhya Pradesh has the largest state area (84,000 ha,) among all the states that grow small

millets, followed by Chhattisgarh (63,370 ha), Uttarakhand (53000 ha,), and Maharashtra (40980 ha,). With a productivity of 1711 kg/ha, Telangana leads the pack, followed by Tamil Nadu (1444 kg/ha) and Uttarakhand (1339 kg/ha). With an average productivity of 1034 kg/ha, India is the world's largest producer of barnyard millet, both in terms of area (0.146 m ha) and production (0.147 m ha)mt). One of the smallest and most resilient millets is barnyard millet (*Echinochloa crusgalli*), which is a member of the Poaceae family and the Panicoideae subfamily. It is known by a number of names, including sanwank, sawan, ooda, and oadalu. Indian barnyard millet, or Echinochloa frumentacea (Roxb.) Link; syn. E. colona var. frumentacea (allohexaploid, 2n = 6x = 54), is derived from wild E. colona (L.). Compared to other millets, barnyard millet has a relatively higher nutritional status. Nearly all of the essential components of a typical human diet are present in greater amounts in it, including protein

Corresponding Author's Email - vivekc@icfre.org

<sup>1</sup>Plant Pathology Division, VCSG Uttarakhand University of Horticulture and Forestry, Pauri Gharwal Uttarakhand, India

<sup>2</sup>Forest Ecology and Climate Change Division, ICFRE-FRI, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

(11.60g/100g), carbohydrates (74.30g/100g), minerals (4.70g/100g), calcium (14.00 mg/100g), crude fiber (14.70g/100g), and phosphorous (121.00 mg/100g). (Anbukkani *et al*, 2017). Similar to this, barnyard millet's alkaloids, steroids, carbohydrates, glycosides, tannins, phenols, and flavonoids have a variety of ethnomedical qualities, including the ability to heal wounds, reduce biliousness, and alleviate diseases linked to constipation. (Sharma *et al*, 2016; Sayani and Chatterjee, 2017).

Rhizoctonia solani-induced sheath blight has become a growing issue in Uttarakhand's hilly regions. (Kumar and Prasad, 2009; Kumar, 2016). The first reports of Rhizoctonia solani-caused sheath blight disease in barnyard millet date back to 2009 (Kumar and Prasad, 2009). Oval to irregular and light grey to dark brown lesions are the symptoms that were previously seen on the sheath but are now also seen on the leaves. Lesions initially developed on the leaves close to the soil's surface, but they quickly spread, merged to cover the upper leaves, and resulted in blighting of the foliage (Kumar, 2016). A series of copper and brown bands appeared across the leaf as the disease worsened, with the central parts of the lesions eventually turning white to straw with thin, reddish-brown borders (Kumar, 2016). Attempts to employ a group of bio-control agents to achieve long-term control of plant diseases have also been made in recent years (Chaube and Sharma, 2002; Rawat et al, 2011, 2012).

It is commonly known that *Trichoderma* works well as a biological control agent against a variety of plant diseases, including *R. solani*. (Hicks *et al*, 2014). According to several reports, *Trichoderma's* strong antagonistic and mycoparasitic activity effectively inhibits plant pathogens in the soil (Bhattacharjee and Dey, 2014; Rawat *et al*, 2016), in addition to direct impacts on plant roots, boosting the uptake of nutrients, enhancing seed germination, and boosting plant defenses against biotic and abiotic stressors (Hicks *et al*, 2014; Bhattacharjee and Dey, 2014). Among the most popular bacterial biological agents for combating a variety of soil phytopathogens, such as *Rhizoctonia solani*, are

Bacillus subtilis and Pseudomonas fluorescens (Bhattacharjee and Dey, 2014; Kukreti et al, 2017). The synthesis of bioactive substances and/or extracellular hydrolytic enzymes may be the cause of Bacillus subtilis and Pseudomonas fluorescens' antagonistic activity (Saber et al, 2015; Kukreti et al, 2017). Using combinations of compatible biocontrol agents that have synergistic effects can result in biological control ability (Hicks et al, 2014; Ezzat et al, 2015). In order to assess the impact of seed treatment, seed biopriming, and the incorporation of organic amendments with bacterial or fungal antagonists used as soil treatment against the sheath blight disease in barnyard millet caused by Rhizoctonia solani, the current study was conducted.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The current study was carried out at the College of Forestry's Plant Pathology Research Block and Laboratory in Ranichauri, Tehri Garhwal, Uttarakhand. A field trial was carried out in 2021 during the *Kharif* season. Randomized block design was used to lay out the field experiment.

#### Isolation and identification of the pathogen

In order to isolate the blight-inciting pathogen, the infected sheath sample was taken from the Vegetable Research Block and transported to the Plant Pathology Laboratory at the College of Forestry in Ranichauri. Following a thorough cleaning with sterile distilled water, these sheath samples were allowed to air dry. Both the healthy and diseased portions of the samples were then cut into tiny pieces, each measuring around 4 mm. Following surface sterilization with sodium hypochlorite and double-distilled water, these cut portions were allowed to air dry on sterile blotting paper. A laminar airflow chamber was used to create aseptic conditions for the inoculation of these cut portions onto the petri plates that contained the PDA medium. These plates were then incubated at 25±2 °C in a BOD incubator for about 7-10 d for the fungus to grow. The fungus was then observed under a compound microscope for its identification.

# **Eco-Friendly Management of Sheath Blight Disease**

| Sr. No. | Symbol                | Treatment detail  | Concentration                        |  |  |
|---------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1.      | $T_1$                 | Control/Untreated check   | -                                    |  |  |
| 2.      | $T_2$                 | Seed treatment with Trichoderma asperellum  | @10g/kg seed                         |  |  |
| 3.      | T <sub>3</sub>        | Seed treatment with <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>  | @10g/kg seed                         |  |  |
| 4.      | $T_4$                 | Seed treatment with Bacillus subtilis   | @10g/kg seed                         |  |  |
| 5.      | T <sub>5</sub>        | Seed bio-priming with Trichoderma asperellum  | @10g/kg seed                         |  |  |
| 6.      | $T_6$                 | Seed bio-priming with Pseudomonas fluorescens   | @10g/kg seed                         |  |  |
| 7.      | <b>T</b> <sub>7</sub> | Seed bio-priming with Bacillus subtilis   | @10g/kg seed                         |  |  |
| 8.      | T <sub>8</sub>        | Soil application of value added FYM (FYM pre-<br>colonized with <i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> )                                       | @5kg pre-<br>colonized<br>FYM/plot   |  |  |
| 9.      | T9                    | Soil application of value added FYM (FYM pre - colonized with <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> )  | @ 5kg pre-<br>colonized<br>FYM/plot  |  |  |
| 10.     | T <sub>10</sub>       | Soil application of value added FYM (FYM pre-<br>colonized with <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> )  | @ 5 kg pre-<br>colonized<br>FYM/plot |  |  |
| 11.     | T <sub>11</sub>       | Soil application of value added FYM (FYM pre<br>colonized with Trichoderma asperellum<br>+Pseudomonas fluorescens +Bacillus subtilis) | @ 5kg pre-<br>colonized<br>FYM/plot  |  |  |
| 12.     | T <sub>12</sub>       | Seed treatment with Carbendazim   | @ 2g/kg of seed                      |  |  |

#### Table 1. Details of treatments used under field conditions.

# Table 2. Disease Rating scale for sheath blight disease severity (AICRP Small Millets Proceeding, 2020)

| Grade | Description                            | Host reaction             |  |
|-------|--|---------------------------|--|
| 1     | <1% of plant area covered by lesion    | Highly resistant (HR)     |  |
| 2     | 1-5% of plant area covered by lesion   | Resistant (R)             |  |
| 3     | 6-10% of plant area covered by lesion  | Resistant (R)             |  |
| 4     | 11-20% of plant area covered by lesion | Moderately resistant (MR) |  |
| 5     | 21-30% of plant area covered by lesion | Moderately resistant (MR) |  |
| 6     | 31-40% of plant area covered by lesion | Susceptible (S)           |  |
| 7     | 41-50% of plant area covered by lesion | Susceptible (S)           |  |
| 8     | 51-75% of plant area covered by lesion | Highly susceptible (HS)   |  |
| 9     | >75% of plant area covered by lesion   | Highly susceptible (HS)   |  |

#### Evaluation of fungal and bacterial bioagents and fungicide against sheath blight disease in barnyard millet, incited by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn under field conditions.

The Plant Pathology Laboratory, College of Forestry, Ranichauri, Tehri Garhwal, V. C. S. G. Uttarakhand University of Horticulture and Forestry, Uttarakhand, provided the seed material for the current study. It included one variety of barnyard millet (*Echinochloa crusgalli L.*), known as PRJ-1, as well as pure cultures of three biocontrol agents: *Trichoderma asperellum*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, and *Bacillus subtilis*. Prior testing revealed that the aforementioned

## Akanshu *et al*

| Sr.<br>No. | Treatme<br>nt | Percent Disease<br>Incidence | Percent disease<br>index | Grade | Host Reaction                | PEDC  |
|------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| 1.         | T1            | 43.36±0.15(41.172)           | 30.29 ±0.38 (33.38)      | 5     | Moderately<br>Resistant (MR) | 0.00  |
| 2.         | T2            | 22.58*±0.13(28.36)           | 12.44*±0.11(20.64)       | 4     | Moderately<br>Resistant (MR) | 59.93 |
| 3.         | Т3            | 25.65*±0.06(30.42)           | 13.16*±0.02(21.26)       | 4     | Moderately<br>Resistant (MR) | 56.55 |
| 4.         | T4            | 28.40*±0.34(32.19)           | 16.44*±0.09(23.91)       | 4     | Moderately<br>Resistant (MR) | 45.72 |
| 5.         | T5            | 15.74*±0.07(23.37)           | 9.03*±0.14(17.48)        | 3     | Resistant (R)                | 70.18 |
| 6.         | T6            | 18.43*±0.04(25.41)           | 10.17*±0.21(18.58)       | 4     | Moderately<br>Resistant (MR) | 66.42 |
| 7.         | Τ7            | 19.81*±0.18(26.42)           | 11.10*±0.08(19.45)       | 4     | Moderately<br>Resistant (MR) | 63.35 |
| 8.         | T8            | 5.35*±0.02 (13.37)           | 1.21*±0.02(6.31)         | 2     | Resistant (R)                | 96.00 |
| 9.         | Т9            | 7.51*±0.04 (15.89)           | 2.34*±0.01 (8.79)        | 2     | Resistant (R)                | 92.26 |
| 10.        | T10           | 10.85*±0.14(19.22)           | 6.70*±0.14 (15.00)       | 3     | Resistant (R)                | 77.88 |
| 11.        | T11           | 0.00*±0.00(0.00)             | 0.00*±0.00(0.00)         | 1     | Highly Resistant<br>(HR)     | 100   |
| 12.        | T12           | 23.86*±0.19(29.22)           | 8.72*±0.21 (17.16)       | 3     | Resistant (R)                | 71.21 |
|            | S.E (d)       | 0.17 (0.11)                  | 0.23 (0.19)              |       |                              | -     |
|            | C.D.<br>0.05) | 0.35 (0.24)                  | 0.49 (0.41)              |       |                              | -     |

 Table 3. Effect of different treatments on percent disease incidence, percent disease index, host reaction and per cent efficacy of disease control (PEDC).

bioagents were compatible with one another. Before the seeds were planted, the field was prepared by two power tiller ploughings and the addition of the recommended fertilizer dosage (40:20:20) to the soil. Thirty-six plots measuring 2.0 m by 1.35 m were constructed with appropriate drainage channels, a path, and enough space between them to allow for the labeling and tagging of various replications. The details of these treatments are shown in Table 1.

Observations were made for per cent disease incidence, per cent disease severity, per cent disease control. The per cent disease incidence was recorded for the number of plants found infected in the field, and disease severity for the sheath blight disease was recorded by the scale (Table 2) and calculated by the formula given by Mayee and Datar (1986), and per cent efficacy of disease control (PEDC) was calculated by the formula given by Vincent (1927).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Isolation and identification of the pathogen

Based on documented morphological and microscopic observations, the isolated fungus was determined to be *Rhizoctonia solani*. Under a microscope, the pathogen's mycelium appeared hyaline when it was young and turned brown as it matured. Branching was seen at a roughly right angle to the distal septum. The primary characteristic of the fungus *Rhizoctonia solani* is constriction and the formation of the septum in branches close to the point of origin. as shown in Fig. 1 B. The characters were found similar to those described in the literature of Chowdary and

#### **Eco-Friendly Management of Sheath Blight Disease**

Govindaiah (2007), Mishra *et al* (2011) along with Jain *et al* (2016).

## Disease assessment and its control

## Percent Disease Incidence

T1 (Control) had the highest disease incidence rate (43.36%), followed by T4 (*Bacillus subtilis* seed treatment) and T3 (*Pseudomonas fluorescens* seed treatment), with 28.40% and 25.65%, respectively. However, the treatment T11 (FYM pre-colonized with *Trichoderma asperellum*+*Pseudomonas fluorescens*+*Bacillus subtilis*) had the lowest disease incidence (0.00%), followed by T8 (FYM pre-colonized with *Trichoderma asperellum*) at 5.35% and T9 (FYM pre-colonized with *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) at 7.51%.

# **Disease severity**

Treatment T11 (FYM pre-colonized with *Trichoderma asperellum* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* + *Bacillus subtilis*) had the lowest disease severity (0.00%) with 1G and highly resistant (HR) type of host reaction.T8 (FYM precolonized with *Trichoderma asperellum*) had the second-lowest disease severity (1.21%) with 2G scale and resistant (R) host reaction, and T9 (FYM pre-colonized with *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) had the second-highest disease severity (2.34%) with 2G scale and resistant (R) host reaction.The highest disease incidence (30.29%) was recorded in T1 (Control) with 5G and moderately resistant (MR) host reaction.

# Per cent Efficacy of disease control (PEDC)

The treatment T11 (FYM pre-colonized with *Trichoderma asperellum* + Pseudomonas fluorescens + *Bacillus subtilis*) had the highest percentage of disease control efficacy (100.00%) of sheath blight, followed by T8 (FYM pre-colonized with *Trichoderma asperellum*) with 96.0 % and T9 (FYM pre-colonized with *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) with 92.26%. The lowest percentage of disease control efficacy (45.72%) was found in T4 (Seed treatment with *Bacillus subtilis*), followed by T3 (Seed treatment with *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) and T2 (Seed treatment with *Trichoderma asperellum*) with 56.55 % and 59.93 %, respectively. T11 (FYM

pre-colonized with *Trichoderma asperellum* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* + *Bacillus subtilis*) had the lowest percentage disease incidence and PDI, followed by T8 (FYM pre-colonized with *Trichoderma asperellum*) and T9 (FYM precolonized with *Pseudomonas fluorescens*). T1 (Control) had the highest percentage disease incidence and PDI. According to a number of studies in the literature, siderophores' production sequesters iron in the root environment, reducing its availability to competing harmful microflora and weakening pathogenic populations. This makes it simpler for rhizospheric biocontrol agents to lyse pathogens. (Bholay *et al*, 2012; Deshwal, 2012). Patro *et al*, (2014).

Morsy et al (2015 reported that, in field settings, Pseudomonas fluorescens significantly decreased the incidence of sheath blight disease caused by R. solani. In a similar vein, B. subtilis has also been shown to reduce the prevalence of disease by Morsy et al (2015) in rice crop. The present findings also corroborate with the earlier work of Rani et al (2013) in maize with lowest disease severity recorded in Pseudomonas fluorescens followed by Trichoderma viride and carbendazim against banded leaf and sheath blight disease. Patro et al (2020) found that the application of Trichoderma viride and Pseudomonas fluorescens significantly decreased the incidence of *Rhizoctonia solani*-caused sheath blight in little millet. The development of cereals and legumes, the biofortification of mineral nutrients in grains, and the suppression of phytopathogens under biotic and abiotic stressors have all been found to be successfully accomplished by bioinoculants. (Rawat et al, 2011, Rawat et al, 2013).

#### CONCLUSION

The current study makes it clear that every treatment was significantly more effective than the control, which was left untreated. The treatment of FYM pre-colonized with *Trichoderma asperellum* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* + *Bacillus subtilis* was the most successful among seed treatments, seed biopriming, and colonized compost in terms of disease parameters. This was followed by treatment of FYM pre-colonized with

# Akanshu *et al*



Fig 1: The experimental field for the field evaluation of different treatments against the sheath blight disease of barnyard millet.



Plate 1 A. Isolated pathogen from infected sheath

J Krishi Vigyan 2025, 13(1) : 68-75

#### **Eco-Friendly Management of Sheath Blight Disease**

*Trichoderma asperellum* and treatment of FYM pre-colonized with *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. The findings showed that when it came to lowering the incidence and severity of the sheath blight disease, the bioagents were not far behind. Therefore, it can be claimed that bioinoculants can be used in modern agriculture to reduce reliance on synthetic fungicides and can be used in conjunction with various integrated methods to control plant diseases.

#### REFERENCES

- Anbukkani P, Balaji SJ and Nithyashree ML (2017). Production and consumption of minor millets in India—A structural break analysis. *Ann Agric Sci New Ser* **38**: 1–8.
- Bholay AD, More SY, Patil VB and Niranjan P (2012). Bacterial extracellular alkaline protease and its industrial applications. *Int JBiol Sci* 1(7): 1–5.
- Chaube HS and Sharma J (2002). Integration and interaction of solarization and fungal and bacterial bioagents on disease incidence and plant growth response of some horticultural crops. *Plant Dis Res* 17: 198–201.
- Chowdary NB and Govindaiah H (2007). Influence of different abiotic conditions on the growth and sclerotial production of *Macrophomina phaseolina*. *Indian J Seric* **46** (2): 186–188.
- Das TK, Jain N, Tomer R, Malyan SK, Fagodiya RK, Dubey R and Pathak H (2016). Mitigation of greenhouse gas emission from rice-wheat system of the Indo-Gangetic plains: through tillage, irrigation and fertilizer management. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* **230**: 1–9.
- Deshwal VK (2012). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as a biological control agent against plant pathogenic fungus *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Int J Plant Anim Environ Sci* **2**(1): 14–17.
- Hicks E, Bienkowski D, Braithwaite M, Mclean K, Falloon R and Stewart A (2014). *Trichoderma* strains suppress *Rhizoctonia* diseases and promote growth of potato. *Phytopathol Mediterr* **53**: 502–514.

- Kumar B and Dinesh P (2009). First record of banded sheath blight disease of barnyard millet caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*. J Mycol Plant Pathol **39**: 352–354.
- Kumar B (2016). Status of small millets diseases in Uttarakhand. *Int J Plant Prot* **9**: 256–263.
- Kumar A, Chouhan AK and Tripathi SK (2017). Cultural characteristics and evaluation of *Trichoderma* isolates against *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn causing banded leaf and sheath blight of little millet. *Ann Plant Prot Sci* **25**(1): 140–143.
- Mishra DS, Gupta AK, Prajapati CR and Singh US (2011). Combination of fungal and bacterial antagonists for management of root and stem rot disease of soybean. *PakJ Bot* **43**: 2569–2574.
- Morsy EM and El-Said NA (2015). Efficiency of Bacillus subtilis and Pseudomonas fluorescens as biocontrol agents against Rhizoctonia solani on spearmint plants (Mentha viridis L.). Sci J Flowers Ornamental Plants 2(3): 213–225.
- Patro SK and Prusty AK (2014). Study of *in vitro* antibacterial activity of leaf extract of *Citrus maxima. Ann Plant Sci* **12** (3): 899–904.
- Patro TSSK, Georgia KE, Kumar SR, Anuradha N, Rani YS, Triveni U and Jogarao P (2020). Evaluation of resistant sources of proso millet varieties against *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn inciting banded blight (BB) disease. J Pharmacogn Phytochem 9(5): 1275–1276.
- Rani VD, Reddy PN and Devi GU (2013). Banded leaf and sheath blight of maize incited by *Rhizoctonia solani* f. sp. sasakii and its management: A review. Int J Appl Biol Pharm Technol 4(4): 52–60.
- Rawat L, Singh Y, Shukla N and Kumar J (2011). Alleviation of the adverse effect of salinity stress in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) by seed biopriming with salinity tolerant isolates of *Trichoderma harzianum*. J Soil Sci Plant Nutr **34**: 387–400.

## Akanshu *et al*

- Rawat L, Singh Y, Shukla N and Kumar J (2013). Salinity tolerant *Trichoderma harzianum* reinforces NaCl tolerance and reduces population dynamics of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under salt stress conditions. *Arch Phytopathol Plant Prot* **46**: 1442–1467.
- Rawat L, Prasad S, Bisht TS, Naithani DC and Tiwari A (2019). An impact assessment of front line demonstrations on yield and economics of finger millet and barnyard millet under rainfed conditions of Uttarakhand. *Int J Pure Appl Biosci* 7: 408–414.
- Sharma A, Sood S, Agrawal PK, Kant L, Bhatt JC and Pattanayak A (2016). Detection and assessment of nutraceuticals in methanolic extract of finger (*Eleusine coracana*) and barnyard millet (*Echinochloa* frumentacea). Asian J Chem 28: 1633–1637.
- Sayani R and Chatterjee A (2017). Nutritional and biological importance of the weed *Echinochloa colona*: A review. *Int J Food Sci Biotechnol* **2**: 31–37.
- Sakamma S, Umesh KB, Girish MR, Ravi SC, Satishkumar M and Bellundagi V (2018). Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Gaertn.) production system: Status, potential, constraints and implications for improving small farmers' welfare. *J Agric Sci* 10: 162–179.
- Bhattacharjee R and Dey U (2014). Biofertilizer, a way towards organic agriculture: A review. *African J Microbiol Res* **8**: 32–43.
- Bhattacharjee R and Dey U (2014). An overview of fungal and bacterial biopesticides to control plant pathogens/diseases. *African J Microbiol Res* **8**: 49–62.
- Ezzat AES, Ghoneem KM, Saber WIA and Al-Askar AA (2015). Control of wilt, stalk and tuber rots diseases using arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, Trichoderma species and hydroquinone enhances yield quality and storability of Jerusalem artichoke (*Helianthus tuberosus* L.). *Egypt J Biol Pest Control* **25**: 11–22.

- Grovermann C, Umesh KB, Quiédeville S, Kumar BG and Moakes S (2018). The economic reality of underutilised crops for climate resilience, food security and nutrition: Assessing finger millet productivity in India. *Agric* **8**: 128–131.
- Jain AK, Nagaraja A, Kumar B and Sabalpara AN (2016). Emerging diseases: Need for focused research in small millets. J Mycopathol Res 54(1): 1–9.
- Kukreti A, Kurmanchali N, Rawat L and Bisht TS (2017). Evaluation of fluorescent Pseudomonas spp. against Pyricularia grisea, Rhizoctonia solani and Sclerotium rolfsii causing blast, sheath blight and foot rot diseases of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) crop in mid hills of Uttarakhand: In vitro study. *Bull Environ Pharmacol Life Sci* 6: 29–35.
- Mayee CD and Datar VV (1986). Phytopathometry. Tech Bull (Spec Bull-3), Marathwada Agric Univ, Parbhani, pp 218–220.
- Rawat L, Bisht TS, Kukreti A and Prasad M (2016). Bioprospecting drought tolerant Trichoderma harzianum isolates promote growth and delay the onset of drought responses in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Mol Soil Biol* 7.
- Saber WI, Ghoneem KM, Al-Askar AA, Rashad YM, Ali AA and Rashad EM (2015). Chitinase production by Bacillus subtilis ATCC 11774 and its effect on biocontrol of Rhizoctonia diseases of potato. Acta Biol Hung 66: 436–448.
- Vincent JM (1947). Distortion of fungal hyphae in the presence of certain inhibitors. *Nature* **159**: 850–854.

Received on 29/11/2024 Accepted on 10/02/2025